Natural Resistance-Associated Macrophage Protein Is a Cellular Receptor for Sindbis Virus in Both Insect and Mammalian Hosts
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SUMMARY

Alphaviruses, including several emerging human pathogens, are a large family of mosquito-borne viruses including Sindbis virus being a prototypical member of the genus. The host factor requirements and receptors for entry of this class of viruses remain obscure. Using a Drosophila system, we identified the divalent metal ion transporter natural resistance-associated macrophage protein (NRAMP) as a host cell surface molecule required for Sindbis virus binding and entry into Drosophila cells. Consequently, flies mutant for dNRAMP were protected from virus infection. NRAMP2, the ubiquitously expressed vertebrate homolog, mediated binding and infection of Sindbis virus into mammalian cells, and murine cells deficient for NRAMP2 were nonpermissive to infection. Alphavirus glycoprotein chimeras demonstrated that the requirement for NRAMP2 is at the level of Sindbis virus entry. Given the conserved structure of alphavirus glycoproteins, and the widespread use of transporters for viral entry, other alphaviruses may use conserved multipass membrane proteins for infection.

INTRODUCTION

Alphaviruses are important emerging pathogens causing human disease worldwide (Gould et al., 2009). These viruses circulate among a vertebrate reservoir in the wild, and many causedisease after spillover transmission to humans and agriculturally important domestic animals such as horses (Gould et al., 2009; Greene et al., 2005; Ryman and Klimstra, 2008; Weaver and Reisen, 2010). Sindbis virus is considered the prototypical virus of this genus and has been used as a model to define key viral and host determinants of alphavirus-induced disease. In humans, Sindbis virus infection generally leads to non-life-threatening but debilitating, chronic illness, including polyarthritis, arthralgia, and Pogosta disease (Kurkela et al., 2005; Sane et al., 2009). However, there are other alphaviruses that lead to more severe disease and are considered serious human pathogens, including Ross River virus, Chikungunya virus, and Venezuelan Equine Encephalitis virus (Gould et al., 2009). Even though alphaviruses have been extensively studied for many years, there are still no effective antivirals or vaccines available. This reflects an incomplete understanding in alphavirus pathogenesis and limited knowledge of cellular factors involved in viral replication and pathogenesis.

Alphaviruses contain a nonsegmented single-stranded, positive-sense RNA genome with a 5′ cap and a 3′ poly(A) tail (Strauss and Strauss, 1994). The lipid membranes of these viruses have 80 glycoprotein spikes comprised of trimeric E1 and E2 heterodimers which are required for viral entry (Lescar et al., 2001; Zhang et al., 2002). E2 is a single transmembrane glycoprotein that contains the receptor binding site at the N terminus (Voss et al., 2010), while E1 is a single transmembrane glycoprotein responsible for mediating fusion between the virus and host cell membranes (Omar and Koblet, 1988; Wahlberg and Garoff, 1992). While previous studies have established a requirement for a protein receptor for viral entry, the specific cell surface receptor required for entry has not yet been identified for infection of either the insect or vertebrate host (Strauss et al., 1994). In contrast, attachment factors including laminin receptor and heparin sulfate are not required for virus entry, though their presence has been shown to enhance viral infection (Klimstra et al., 1998; Wang et al., 1992).

A putative Sindbis virus receptor should be ubiquitously expressed given the exceptionally broad host range of the virus, and receptor engagement should lead to internalization via clathrin-mediated endocytosis (Strauss et al., 1994). The clathrin-coated vesicle fuses with endosomes where a low pH triggers conformational changes in E1 leading to a cholesterol and sphingolipid-dependent fusion of the viral envelope with the endosomal membrane (Ng et al., 2008; Strauss and Strauss, 1994). Importantly, Sindbis virus and other alphaviruses can fuse with artificial lipid membranes at low pH (Smit et al., 1999). Thus, the presence of a viral receptor per se is not required for the
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Previous studies have established an entry requirement for an as-yet-unidentified protein receptor for Sindbis virus (Strauss et al., 1994). We recently completed a genome-wide RNAi screen in Drosophila cells and identified nine transmembrane genes required for Sindbis virus infection, and dNRAMP (Mvl) was the only conserved, ubiquitously expressed, plasma membrane-associated protein identified (P.P.R. and S.C., unpublished data). dNRAMP is the single Drosophila homolog of the mammalian NRAMPs, which are a family of highly conserved and broadly expressed 12 transmembrane proteins that mediate transport of heavy metals including iron (see Figures S1A and S1B available online) (Andrews, 1999; Nevo and Nelson, 2006). Interestingly, plasma membrane-associated transporters are a common class of viral cellular receptors (Manel et al., 2005; Weiss and Tailor, 1995). Due to its broad conservation and ubiquitous expression, we set out to further characterize the role of dNRAMP in Sindbis infection. In Drosophila only one gene represents the NRAMP family, while in mammals there are two, NRAMP1 and NRAMP2 (Nevo and Nelson, 2006). dNRAMP is highly expressed in macrophages and neuronal cells similar to NRAMP1 (Southon et al., 2008), and in the intestine, with a low level of ubiquitous expression similar to NRAMP2 (Folwell et al., 2006). At the cellular level, dNRAMP is present at the plasma membrane similar to NRAMP2, and in endosomal compartments similar to NRAMP1 (Nevo and Nelson, 2006; Southon et al., 2008).

To characterize the requirement for dNRAMP during Sindbis virus infection, we tested independent dsRNAs in both the original Drosophila cell line used for screening (Figure 1A) as well as Kc167, another Drosophila cell line (Figure 1B), and found that infection with Sindbis virus HRsp expressing a GFP reporter gene was significantly decreased in both cases compared to negative controls (luciferase dsRNA). We treated cells with dsRNA against GFP and vha26 (required for endosomal acidification) as positive controls against viral or cellular genes, respectively (Figures 1A–1D). In addition, we found that another, more pathogenic Sindbis virus strain, dTE12H, was also dependent on dNRAMP for infection (Figure 1C) (Johnston et al., 2001). Since Sindbis virus strains HRsp and dTE12H are lab adapted, we also tested whether the wild-type strain S.A.AR86 (Heise et al., 2000) was also dependent on dNRAMP for infection. Indeed, we found that this strain was also dependent upon dNRAMP (Figure 1D). The Sindbis S.A.AR86 strain was a replicon which is unable to spread, demonstrating that the requirement is during the first round of infection. Like Sindbis virus, West Nile virus is an arbovirus (of the Flavivirus family) which is known to enter cells via clathrin-mediated endocytosis and traffic to an acidified compartment for fusion (Chu et al., 2006). Using wild-type West Nile virus we found that while many of the canonical components of the clathrin-mediated endocytic pathway and the vATPase acidification machinery were required for both Sindbis virus and West Nile virus infection of Drosophila cells, dNRAMP was completely dispensable for West Nile virus infection (Figure 1E). In addition, we found that dNRAMP was dispensable for infection with vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV), an additional virus that is dependent upon clathrin-mediated endocytosis for entry (Figure 1F) (Cherry and Perrimon, 2004; Sun et al., 2005). These data suggest that dNRAMP was not ubiquitously involved in the clathrin-dependent uptake of viruses in general but rather specifically required for Sindbis virus infection.

**RESULTS**

**dNRAMP Is Required for Sindbis Virus Infection**

Drosophila natural resistance-associated macrophage protein (NRAMP), dNRAMP (Mvl), as required for binding and infection of Drosophila cells and identified that adult flies mutant for dNRAMP were protected from infection. We also found that NRAMP2, the ubiquitously expressed vertebrate homolog, mediated binding and entry into mammalian cells, and that murine cells deficient for NRAMP2 were nonpermissive to Sindbis virus infection. The requirement for NRAMP is specific to Sindbis virus, as infection by another alphavirus, Ross River virus (RRV), was NRAMP independent. Taken together, our findings that NRAMP is a conserved receptor used by Sindbis across disparate hosts informs our understanding of virus tropism and pathogenesis.

**Figure 1. Drosophila NRAMP Is Required for Sindbis Virus Infection**

dsRNA against dNRAMP, the vATPase component vha26, the SINV reporter GFP, and the negative control luciferase were challenged with (A) Sindbis virus-GFP (HRsp) in DL1 cells, (B) Sindbis virus-GFP (HRsp) in Kc167 cells, (C) Sindbis virus-GFP (dsTE12H) in DL1 cells, (D) Sindbis virus-GFP (S.A.AR86) in DL1 cells. (E) Wild-type WNV was detected using anti-E in DL1 cells. (F) VSV-Sindbis virus-GFP (dsTE12H) in DL1 cells, (D) Sindbis virus-GFP (S.A.AR86) in DL1 cells. (E) Wild-type WNV was detected using anti-E in DL1 cells. (F) VSV-Sindbis virus-GFP (HRsp) in DL1 cells, (G) Sindbis virus-GFP (HRsp) in Kc167 cells, (H) Sindbis virus-GFP (dsTE12H) in Kc167 cells. (I) Sindbis virus-GFP (S.A.AR86) in Kc167 cells. (J) Wild-type WNV was detected using anti-E in Kc167 cells. (K) VSV-GFP in DL1 cells. (L) VSV-GFP in Kc167 cells. (M) Sindbis virus-GFP (HRsp) in DL1 cells, (N) Sindbis virus-GFP (dsTE12H) in DL1 cells, (O) Sindbis virus-GFP (S.A.AR86) in DL1 cells, (P) Sindbis virus-GFP (HRsp) in Kc167 cells, (Q) Sindbis virus-GFP (dsTE12H) in Kc167 cells, (R) Sindbis virus-GFP (S.A.AR86) in Kc167 cells. (S) Wild-type WNV was detected using anti-E in Kc167 cells. (T) VSV-GFP in DL1 cells, (U) VSV-GFP in Kc167 cells. (V) Sindbis virus-GFP (HRsp) in DL1 cells, (W) Sindbis virus-GFP (dsTE12H) in DL1 cells, (X) Sindbis virus-GFP (S.A.AR86) in DL1 cells, (Y) Sindbis virus-GFP (HRsp) in Kc167 cells, (Z) Sindbis virus-GFP (dsTE12H) in Kc167 cells, (AA) Sindbis virus-GFP (S.A.AR86) in Kc167 cells. (AB) Wild-type WNV was detected using anti-E in Kc167 cells. (AC) VSV-GFP in DL1 cells, (AD) VSV-GFP in Kc167 cells. (AE) Sindbis virus-GFP (HRsp) in DL1 cells, (AF) Sindbis virus-GFP (dsTE12H) in DL1 cells, (AG) Sindbis virus-GFP (S.A.AR86) in DL1 cells, (AH) Sindbis virus-GFP (HRsp) in Kc167 cells, (AI) Sindbis virus-GFP (dsTE12H) in Kc167 cells, (AJ) Sindbis virus-GFP (S.A.AR86) in Kc167 cells. (AK) Wild-type WNV was detected using anti-E in Kc167 cells. (AL) VSV-GFP in DL1 cells, (AM) VSV-GFP in Kc167 cells. (AN) Sindbis virus-GFP (HRsp) in DL1 cells, (AO) Sindbis virus-GFP (dsTE12H) in DL1 cells, (AP) Sindbis virus-GFP (S.A.AR86) in DL1 cells, (AQ) Sindbis virus-GFP (HRsp) in Kc167 cells, (AR) Sindbis virus-GFP (dsTE12H) in Kc167 cells, (AS) Sindbis virus-GFP (S.A.AR86) in Kc167 cells. (AT) Wild-type WNV was detected using anti-E in Kc167 cells. (AU) VSV-GFP in DL1 cells, (AV) VSV-GFP in Kc167 cells.
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**Figure 2. dNRAMP Is Required for Binding and Entry in Drosophila Cells**

(A) Cells pretreated with the indicated dsRNA were either infected (gray) or transfected with vRNA (black), and triplicate mean ± SD shown; *p < 0.05. (B) Cells were pretreated with dsRNA against dNRAMP or control dsRNA and bound with biotinylated Sindbis virus (virus, red; nuclei, blue). (C) The percentage of cells that had at least one viral particle bound is graphed with mean ± SD for triplicate experiments; *p < 0.05.

**dNRAMP Is Required for Binding and Entry**

We next investigated the step in the Sindbis virus life cycle that is dependent upon dNRAMP. To determine if the requirement for dNRAMP was at the level of entry, we performed a viral RNA bypass assay. When transfected into cells, genomic viral RNA is directly translated and can launch the infection bypassing any entry requirements. We compared the production of virally expressed GFP between infection (entry-dependent) and viral RNA transfection (entry-independent) in cells depleted for the control luciferase compared to dNRAMP. Using this assay, we found that the requirement for dNRAMP, as well as the vATPase, was largely bypassed by viral RNA transfection, suggesting a role for dNRAMP in entry (Figure 2A). In contrast, treatment of cells with dsRNA against the virally expressed reporter GFP could not be bypassed, since infection levels were decreased in the RNA bypass assay. This also demonstrates that dNRAMP is not required for a step downstream of entry in Drosophila cells.

Next, we assayed whether dNRAMP was required for Sindbis virus binding to cells since dNRAMP is a plasma membrane-localized transporter (Nevo and Nelson, 2006). For these experiments, cells were pretreated with dsRNA targeting dNRAMP or the negative control luciferase, and then challenged with biotinylated infectious Sindbis virus at 4°C. Free virus was removed by extensive washing, and bound virus was visualized with Streptavidin-Texas red (Figure 2B). Quantification revealed that cells depleted of dNRAMP showed an ~5-fold reduction in binding compared to control dsRNA-treated cells (Figure 2C). Taken together, these data suggest that dNRAMP is an entry receptor for Sindbis virus in Drosophila cells.

**Figure 3. dNRAMP Is Required for Sindbis Infection of Adult Flies**

(A) Survival curve of SINV-challenged flies compared to control. (B) Viral titers of flies challenged with the indicated SINV concentrations or control at 6 days postinfection. Mean ± SD of three experiments; *p < 0.05. (C) Sindbis virus titers from flies of the indicated genotypes at either 3 (gray) or 6 (black) days postinfection. Mean ± SD of three experiments; *p < 0.05. (D) VSV virus titers from flies of the indicated genotypes at either 3 (gray) or 6 (black) days postinfection. Mean ± SD of three experiments.

**dNRAMP Is Required for Sindbis Infection of Adult Flies**

We next sought to determine if dNRAMP was required for infection not only of tissue culture cells but also of adult flies. Sindbis virus infection of both the natural mosquito host and adult flies is nonlethal (Figure 3A) (Cirimotich et al., 2009; Galiana-Arnoux et al., 2006), and the generation of infectious virus in vivo was dose dependent (Figure 3B). A transposon insertion allele of dNRAMP (MvP77) has been previously characterized as a strong loss-of-function allele that behaves as a null allele in some behavioral assays (Rodrigues et al., 1995). We found that there was a 4-log reduction in viral titers of flies that were infected with Sindbis virus but deficient for dNRAMP (MvP77) compared to heterozygous or wild-type controls, while VSV infection was unaffected (Figures 3C and 3D, respectively). Altogether, these data illustrate that dNRAMP is required for infection both at the cellular and the organismal level.

**Posttranslational Regulation of NRAMP by Iron Inhibits Sindbis Virus Infection in Insects**

Since Drosophila is not the natural host of Sindbis virus, we were interested in determining if NRAMP was also required for Sindbis virus infection of one of the natural mosquito hosts, Aedes aegypti (Strauss et al., 1994). For these studies we took advantage of the fact that NRAMP is a metal ion transporter sensitive to intracellular iron concentrations whereby high concentrations of iron lead to a reduction in NRAMP expression (Martini et al., 2002). NRAMP downregulation can occur on two levels. First,
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The use of the S.A.AR86 replicon that cannot spread demonstrates that this requirement is during the first round of infection. Taken altogether, the data strongly suggested that dNRAMP is required for Sindbis virus entry into insects including vector mosquitoes.

**Sindbis Virus Infection of Mammalian Cells Is Iron Regulated**

Due to the conservation between NRAMPs (Figures S1A and S1B) (Pinner et al., 1997), we tested whether NRAMP played a role in Sindbis virus infection of mammalian cells. NRAMP1 has a restricted expression pattern, while NRAMP2 is more ubiquitously expressed (Nevo and Nelson, 2006). In addition, NRAMP1 is found predominantly in intracellular compartments, while NRAMP2 is expressed more ubiquitously at the plasma membrane and in recycling endosomes (Nevo and Nelson, 2006). Since Sindbis virus can infect many cell types and is thought to initially interact with its entry receptor(s) at the plasma membrane, we reasoned that NRAMP2 was more likely to function in entry. First, we set out to determine if Sindbis virus infection was sensitive to iron, as NRAMP2 expression is decreased by treatment with high iron (Figure S2A). Indeed, treatment of mammalian cells including human U2OS with iron significantly attenuated lab-adapted (Toto 1101) and wild-type (S.A.AR86, TR339) Sindbis virus infection, and did so during the first round of infection because the two wild-type strains are replicons unable to spread (Figure 5A, Figure S2B). Furthermore, iron treatment had no effect on West Nile virus or VSV infection (Figure 5A), demonstrating a specific dependency for Sindbis virus. We also found that iron-treated human 293T cells and mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) were refractory to Sindbis virus infection (Figures S2C and S2D, respectively).

**NRAMP2 Facilitates Infection and Binding of Sindbis Virus in Mammalian Cells**

As many receptors are rate-limiting for viral infection (Breiner and Schaller, 2000; Cheng et al., 2007), we tested whether NRAMP2 overexpression impacted Sindbis virus infection. Indeed, we found that overexpression of NRAMP2 led to a 2-fold increase in the percentage of infected cells compared to controls for both wild-type (S.A.AR86) and lab-adapted (HRsp) Sindbis virus strains but had no affect on VSV infection (Figure 5B). Again, this was during the first round of infection, because the wild-type strain is a replicon unable to spread. When NRAMP2-overexpressing cells were treated with iron, we observed a decrease in NRAMP2 expression (Figure S2A). We used these cells to determine if NRAMP2 mediated binding of Sindbis virus to mammalian cells. Using biotinylated Sindbis virus, we observed an ~17-fold reduction in virus binding to iron-treated cells compared to controls when we visualized bound virus using the microscopy-based assay (Figure 5C, Figure S2E). Furthermore, we biochemically monitored binding by immunoprecipitating bound virus using Streptavidin beads and could specifically detect Sindbis virus (Figure 5D, left panel). We observed increased binding to NRAMP2-overexpressing cells, and this binding was attenuated by iron treatment of both wild-type cells and cells overexpressing NRAMP2.

**Figure 4. Sindbis Virus Infection Is Sensitive to Iron Treatment in Both Drosophila and Mosquito Cells**

(A–C) Iron treatment of Drosophila DL1 cells (black) or Aedes aegypti Aag-2 cells (gray) challenged with (A) Sindbis virus (HRsp), (B) Sindbis virus (S.A.AR86), or (C) VSV. Mean ± SD of three experiments; *p < 0.05.
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Figure 5. NRAMP2 Facilitates Binding and Infection of Sindbis Virus in Mammalian Cells

(A) U2OS cells challenged with the indicated virus in the presence or absence of iron. Mean ± SD of three experiments; *p < 0.05.

(B) U2OS cells transfected with hNRAMP2 or the control vector (mock) were challenged with the indicated virus. Mean ± SD of three experiments; *p < 0.05.

(C) The percentage of cells that had at least one viral particle bound is graphed for the indicated virus with mean ± SD for triplicate experiments shown; *p < 0.05.

(D) Mock or hNRAMP2-transfected U2OS cells were either untreated or iron treated and bound with biotinylated Sindbis virus, precipitated with streptavidin beads, and visualized by immunoblot. Left panel shows that cells that were uninfected do not have a band, while input-labeled virus is visualized as a 60 kD band. Representative of three experiments.

(E) U2OS cells were either untreated or iron treated and bound with biotinylated Sindbis virus, biotinylated VSV, or biotinylated IgG; precipitated with streptavidin beads, and visualized by immunoblot. GAPDH and NRAMP2 are observed in the input, whereas only Sindbis virus precipitates with NRAMP2, and only in the absence of iron treatment which reduces NRAMP2 levels. Representative of five experiments.

NRAMP2-Deficient Cells Are Nonpermissive for Sindbis Virus Infection

Next, we set out to determine if NRAMP2 is required for Sindbis virus infection of mammalian cells. As there are no known cell lines refractive to Sindbis virus infection, and NRAMP2 knockout mice are lethal, we generated SV40 T-antigen transformed MEFs from mice carrying floxed NRAMP2 alleles (NRAMP2fl/fl) (Gunshin et al., 2005). These cells express NRAMP2 but not NRAMP1 (Figure S3A). Next, the NRAMP2fl/fl cells were transduced with either a control retrovirus that coexpresses Cre and GFP (MSCV Cre iGFP) or a retrovirus that coexpresses Cre and GFP (MSCV Cre iGFP) (Cherry et al., 2000). We performed PCR to monitor the status of the NRAMP2 locus and found that Cre transduction led to deletion of the floxed exons in the NRAMP2 locus (Figure S3B). When NRAMP2-deleted MEFs were challenged with Sindbis virus (Toto 1101), there was a 50-fold decrease in infection compared to Cre-negative transduced MEFs (Figure 6A). Wild-type Sindbis virus (A.R.AR86, TR339) infection using these replicas was also dependent upon NRAMP2, demonstrating the requirement in the first round of infection (Figure 6A). In contrast, loss of NRAMP2 had no effect on West Nile virus or VSV infection (Figure 6A).

NRAMP2 Is Required for Sindbis Virus Entry

To underscore the specificity of NRAMP2 as a receptor for Sindbis virus, we tested whether RRV, another alphavirus, is dependent upon NRAMP for infection. We found that RRV infection is insensitive both to iron treatment (data not shown) and to NRAMP2 deletion (Figure 6B). This allowed us to take advantage of Sindbis virus/RRV chimeras (Kuhn et al., 1991, 1996), in which either the Sindbis virus or RRV nonstructural proteins were NRAMP2 dependent, while viruses containing the RRV structural proteins with either the Sindbis virus or RRV nonstructural proteins were NRAMP2 independent (Figure 6B). Taken together, these data show that NRAMP2 is required for Sindbis virus binding, entry, and infection in both mammalian and insect cells.

DISCUSSION

Using a Drosophila system with robust RNAi technology, we identified NRAMP as a receptor for the prototypical alphavirus Sindbis virus in cells. Molecular and biochemical assays revealed a requirement for dNRAMP for binding and entry. In addition, the ease of organismal genetics also allowed us to demonstrate that dNRAMP is the only receptor present in flies, as mutant flies were nonpermissive to infection. Importantly, we demonstrated that mosquito cells could be rendered
Figure 6. NRAMP2 Is Required for Sindbis Virus Infection and Entry

(A) NRAMP2fl/fl cells were transduced with MSCV-Cre-ires-GFP or MSCV-ires-GFP retroviruses and challenged with the indicated virus. The percent infection of retrovirally transduced cells (GFP) is shown for triplicate experiments Mean ± S.D.; *p < 0.05.

(B) Sindbis and Ross River chimeric viruses were used to challenge NRAMP2fl/fl cells transduced with MSCV-Cre-ires-GFP or MSCV-ires-GFP retroviruses as indicated. Mean ± SD of three experiments; *p < 0.05 (gp, glycoproteins; nsp, nonstructural proteins).

NRAMP2 is only 54%, we found that many of the extracellular loops have much higher homology, which suggests that Sindbis virus relies on the conservation of NRAMP across species to infect diverse hosts. In particular, the most conserved extracellular loop contains the iron binding site, and iron uptake is essential for all organisms; therefore we hypothesize that Sindbis virus binds to this region, making it difficult for organisms to escape from Sindbis virus interactions.

Furthermore, by demonstrating that Sindbis virus strains obtained from infected humans and mosquitoes are all NRAMP dependent, we hypothesize that NRAMP is likely a receptor for all Sindbis virus isolates globally. Since the portion of the viral E2 glycoprotein used for host recognition is highly conserved among different alphaviruses, all of which exhibit broad species tropisms (Li et al.; Voss et al.), it is possible that conserved, ubiquitously expressed multi-pass membrane proteins may support entry of other alphaviruses (Kielland, 2010; Li et al., 2010; Voss et al., 2010). Lastly, our data suggest that this loss-of-function screening approach in Drosophila may allow for the identification of ubiquitously expressed cellular receptors for arthropod-borne viruses or other viruses with broad species tropism.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Cells and Virus and Reagents

DL1, KC167, and Aag-2 cells were maintained in Schneider’s medium (Invitrogen-GIBCO, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Sigma), 100 U/ml of penicillin, 100 mg/ml streptomycin (Invitrogen-GIBCO, Carlsbad, CA), and 100 mg/ml HEPES (Invitrogen-GIBCO, Carlsbad, CA). U2OS, 293T, and MEFs were maintained in DMEM (Invitrogen-GIBCO, Carlsbad, CA), and 100 mg/ml of penicillin, 100 U/ml of streptomycin (Invitrogen-GIBCO, Carlsbad, CA). Other chemicals were purchased from Sigma. Texas red-conjugated secondary antibodies were obtained from Jackson Immunochemicals. Streptavidin-conjugated Texas red or HRP was obtained from Thermo Scientific. Anti-NRAMP2 was obtained from Santa Cruz, and anti-GAPDH was obtained from Calbiochem. Sindbis virus (HRsp and dsTE12H) were generated in BHK cells and then propagated in C6/36 cells (Burnham et al., 2007). VSV and VSV-GFP were propagated in BHK cells (Ramsburg et al., 2005). WNV (WNV lineage I strain 3000.0259 NY 2000) virus was propagated in C6/36 cells (Hanna et al., 2005). Wild-type Sindbis virus-GFP (S.A.AR86) (Heise et al., 2000), Sindbis virus-GFP (TR339) (McKnight et al., 1996), RRV-GFP (T48) replicons were packaged using wild-type coats for their corresponding viruses as previously described (Puschk et al., 1997). Sindbis virus and RRV chimeras were propagated as previously described (Kuhn et al., 1991, 1996). MSCV-iGFP and MSCV-CreIGFP retroviral supernatants were generated as described (Cherry et al., 2000).

Drosophila RNAi

Eighteen thousand cells were seeded into 384-well plates prereared with 250 ng/well dsRNA (Ambion) in 10 µl of serum-free media using automated liquid handling (Wellmate). One hour later, 20 µl of complete media was added, and the plates were incubated for 3 days and then infected with Sindbis virus (m.o.i = 10, determined on BHK for 36 hr. The plates were fixed in PBS/4% formaldehyde for 10 min and washed twice in PBS. Cells were then stained with the indicated antibody and 5 µg/mL Hoechst 33342 and washed twice with PBS. Three sites per well per wavelength were imaged at 20× (ImageXpress Micro, Molecular Devices). Automated image analysis segmented the images and was used to determine the number of DAPI+ cells and the number of virus+ cells. The percentage of infected cells was calculated, averaged for the three sites. These metrics were used to calculate a robust Z score. The percent infection was calculated for each well and log-transformed, and the interquartile range (IQR) and plate median were...
used to calculate a robust Z score for each well using the following equation: [(log10(%infection) − log10(median)/(IQR*0.74)] (Zhang et al., 2006).

Insect Cell Infections
Insect cells were infected with Sindbis virus HRsp (moi = 10), diStE12H (moi = 10), or S.A.AR86 (moi = 10); West Nile virus (moi = 1); or VSV (moi = 2) by spin infection for 2 hr at 1200 rpm at room temperature in serum-free media. Afterwards serum was increased to 7%. Infected insect cells were fixed between 30 and 36 hr postinfection.

Virus Biotinylation and Immunoprecipitations
Virus harvested from BHK cells was precleared (1200 rpm for 4 min) and pelleted through a 25% sucrose cushion (SW32 at 21,000 rpm, 4°C) for 2 hr and resuspended in 100 µl PBS. This purified virus was biotinylated with 3.5 µg/µL E-Link Sulfo-NHS-LC-biotin (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL) for 30 min at room temperature and quenched with 1 mM Tris-Gl (pH 7.5). Biotinylated Sindbis virus, biotinylated VSV, or IgG (Sigma) was bound to prechilled cells for 45 min at 4°C. Unbound virus or IgG was removed and cells were processed for microscopy using Streptavidin-Texas red. For biochemical analysis, cells were lysed in 1% Triton in PBS containing 1x protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) for 30 min at 4°C, and insoluble fractions were removed by a 30 min spin at 10,000 x g. Samples were precleared and precipitated with Streptavidin-agarose. Washed beads were boiled in SDS-lysis buffer and immunoblotted.

Adult Fly Infections
Flies of the indicated genotypes were obtained from the Bloomington stock center and maintained on standard medium at room temperature. Four- to seven-day-old adult female flies were inoculated with Sindbis virus or VSV as previously described (Cherry and Perrimon, 2004). Groups of at least 20 flies were challenged for mortality studies. Flies were processed for plaque assay by crushing and titering on BHK cells.

Mammalian Transfections and Infections
U2OS cells were transfected with using FuGENE per the manufacturer’s protocol. Mammalian cells were infected with Sindbis virus (HRsp, moi = 0.1), wild-type Sindbis virus (S.A.AR86, moi = 0.5), West Nile virus (moi = 1), VSV-GFP (moi = 0.1), and RRV (moi = 0.5) and fixed at 12 hr postinfection.

Iron Treatment
Cells were treated with 160 µM fresh Ammonium Iron(III) Citrate (Sigma) daily for 3 days prior to and throughout the infection.

mNRAMP2 Assays
mNRAMP2 MEFs were generated and immortalized with SV40 T-antigen. Cells were infected with either MSCV-GFP or MSCVCre-iGFP as described (Cherry et al., 2000) and infected with Sindbis virus (Toto1101) (moi = 0.1), Sindbis virus (S.A.AR86, TR339) (moi = 0.5), VSV (moi = 0.1), WNV (moi = 1), and chimeras (moi = 1) and visualized using anti-Sindbis virus E1 (gift from D.E. Griffin) for Toto1101; anti-Sindbis ascites (gift from R. Tesh) for S.A.AR86,TR339; and chimeric virus series with Sindbis virus glycoproteins, anti-VSV G (gift from R. Doms), anti-WNV E (gift from R. Doms), and anti-RRV ascites for chimeric viruses with RRV glycoproteins (gift from R. Tesh) 14 hr postinfection.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
Supplemental Information includes three figures and Supplemental Experimental Procedures and can be found with this article online at doi:10.1016/j.chom.2011.06.009.
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